

1(B)

5

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W. P. (S) No. 3690 /2006

SINGLE BENCH


PETITIONER

: ✓ **Ishwardin Tiwari**, Aged about 50 years,
S/o Late Shri Ram Pratap Tiwari,
R/o - J. K. D. Road, Manendragarh,
Distt. - Korla (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- : 1. ✓ Chhattisgarh Electricity Board,
✓ Through : The Chairman,
C. G. E. B. Daganiya, Raipur,
Tah. & Distt. - Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Divisional Engineer (O. & M.D.N.)
✓ Chhattisgarh Electricity Board,
Champa, Distt.-Janjgir-Champa(C.G.)
3. The Divisional Engineer (O. & M.)
✓ Chhattisgarh Electricity Board,
Ambikapur, Distt. - Ambikapur (C.G.)
4. The Superintending Engineer (LC)
✓ Chhattisgarh Electricity Board, Tifra,
Bilaspur, Distt. - Bilaspur (C.G.)
5. The Assistant Engineer,
✓ Chhattisgarh Electricity Board,
Manendragarh, Distt. - Korla (C.G.)



Writ Petition Under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India

मामला क्रमांक WP 3690/06.. सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	25.7.2006 Heard Mr. Bharat Rajput, Advocate for the petitioner. Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Government Advocate for the State. The main grievance raised by the petitioner in this petition is that in the year 1974 he was appointed as daily wage employee on the post of Labourer in the respondent-office. Vide order dated 30.3.1980 (Annexure P/1) the respondents regularized 23 employees and in the said order the name of the petitioner appears at Serial No. 19, but the petitioner has not been granted benefit, as granted to other employees under the said order. The petitioner has also not been granted the consequential benefits. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has made several representations to the respondents, but nothing has been heard till today, therefore, the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2 and in turn, he be directed to decide the same in accordance with law. The prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be just, fair and reasonable, therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merit of case, the petitioner is directed to make a detailed representation along with copy of this petition to respondent No.2 raising all his points and in turn, respondent No. 2 is directed to decide the same within a period of three months in accordance with law after considering all the points raised by the petitioner. With direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules.	

Roshan/-

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

P.T.O.

Done
25/7/06